

# Dynamic Programming

---

COMP 215

# Dynamic Programming Basics

---

- In general DP algorithms proceed as follows:
  - Establish a recursive property that gives the solution to an instance of the problem in terms of the solution to an easier problem.
  - Solve an instance of the problem in a bottom-up fashion, by starting with the easy instances.
- Remember the Fibonacci numbers?

# Wrapping Up Divide and Conquer

---

- Thresholds.
- When not to use divide and conquer.

# Computing Binomial Coefficients

---

- Recall the binomial coefficient:  $\binom{n}{k}$ 
  - This is the number of distinct ways to choose  $k$  things from a set of  $n$  things.
- Appendix A of our textbook gives the following equation:

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$$

# Recursive Specification

---

- It can be shown that:

$$\binom{n}{k} = \begin{cases} \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k} & 0 < k < n \\ 1 & k=0 \text{ or } k=n \end{cases}$$

- Great! We can easily turn this into a divide and conquer algorithm:

```
int bin(int n, int k)
{
    if (k == 0 || n == k)
        return 1;
    else
        return bin(n-1, k-1) + bin(n-1, k);
}
```

# Dynamic Programming Approach

---

- We will construct an array B, such that the entry  $B[i][j] = \binom{i}{j}$ .
- We know from the previous slide that
  - $B[i][j] = B[i-1][j-1] + B[i-1][j]$
- So if we fill in the values from top to bottom, and left to right, we will always have the values we need to compute the next term.
- Let's do an example:  $\binom{3}{2}$

# Dynamic Programming Algorithm

---

```
int bin2(int n, int k)
{
    B[0..n][0..k];
    for (int i = 0; i <= n; i++) {
        for (int j = 0; j <= min(i,k); j++) {
            if (j == 0 || j == i)
                B[i][k] = 1;
            else
                B[i][k] = B[i-1][j-i] + B[i-1][j];
        }
    }
    return B[n][k]
}
```

# Shortest Path Problems

---

- One version requires finding a single shortest path in a directed graph.
  - I.e. of all the sequences of edges that lead from vertex A to vertex B, which sequence has the lowest summed weight.
  - Dijkstra's algorithm (which we will see later) allows us to solve this problem in  $\Theta(n^2)$  steps.
- The **every pairs shortest path** problem requires finding the shortest path from every vertex to every other vertex in a graph.
  - One possibility would be to use Dijkstra's algorithm repeatedly.

# Representing a Graph as a Matrix

---

- We can represent any graph as a matrix  $W$  where

$$W[i][j] = \begin{cases} \text{weight on edge} & \text{if there is an edge from } v_i \text{ to } v_j \\ \infty & \text{if there is no edge from } v_i \text{ to } v_j \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

- Let's invent an example.

# Floyd's Algorithm

---

- We want to specify a solution to the shortest paths problem in terms of the solution to an easier problem.
- To this end, we define a series of matrices  $D^{(k)}$  where  $0 \leq k \leq n$  and where
  - $D^{(k)}[i][j]$  = length of the shortest path from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  using only vertices in the set  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  as intermediate vertices.
  - For example  $D^{(0)}$  is our original matrix  $W$ ,  $D^{(n)}$  is our matrix of shortest paths.
- We need an efficient algorithm for computing  $D^{(k)}$  from  $D^{(k-1)}$  (how efficient?)

# Computing $D^{(k)}$ from $D^{(k-1)}$

---

- We already know the shortest paths using nodes  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$  as intermediate nodes. Can we find a shorter path from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  if we throw in  $v_k$ ?
- Two possibilities:
  - NO, in which case  $D^{(k)}[i][j] = D^{(k-1)}[i][j]$ .
  - YES, in which case  $D^{(k)}[i][j] = D^{(k-1)}[i][k] + D^{(k-1)}[k][j]$ .
  - Huh? Why?

$$D^{(k)}[i][j] = D^{(k-1)}[i][k] + D^{(k-1)}[k][j] \text{ (Sometimes.)}$$

---

- First notice that  $D^{(k)}[i][k] = D^{(k-1)}[i][k]$ .
  - Because the path from  $v_i$  to  $v_k$  cannot use  $v_k$  as an intermediate node.
- We have assumed that the shortest path from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  using the first  $k$  nodes includes  $v_k$ .
  - The path from  $v_i$  to  $v_k$  on this shortest path can't be longer than  $D^{(k-1)}[i][k]$ , otherwise we could replace it with a shorter path.
  - There can't be a path from  $v_i$  to  $v_k$  that's shorter than  $D^{(k-1)}[i][k]$  using  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$ , by definition.
- The same reasoning applies to the path from  $v_k$  to  $v_j$ .

# Floyd's Algorithm

---

```
void floyd(int n, number W[][], number D[][])
{
    number[][] PrevD = W;
    for (int k = 1; k <= n; k++) {
        for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++) {
            for (int j = 1; j <= n; j++) {
                D[i][j] = min(PrevD[i][j],
                               PrevD[i][k] + PrevD[k][j]);
            }
        }
        PrevD = D;
    }
}
```

(We can get away without using the PrevD matrix)

# Floyd Analysis

---

- Time complexity?
- Space complexity?

# Returning the Shortest Paths

---

- We can modify the algorithm to allow us to find the paths themselves, not just lengths:

```
void floyd2(int n, number W[][], number D[][],
            index P[][])
{
    P[0..n][0..n] = 0;
    D = W;
    for (int k = 1; k <= n; k++) {
        for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++) {
            for (int j = 1; j <= n; j++) {
                if (D[i][k] + D[k][j] < D[i][j]) {
                    P[i][j] = k;
                    D[i][j] = D[i][k] + D[k][j]);
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
```

# Returning a Shortest Path

---

- The following procedure then prints out the intermediate nodes on the shortest path:

```
void path(index q, index r, index P[][])
{
    if (P[q][r] != 0) {
        path(q, P[q][r], P);
        print(P[q][r]);
        path(P[q][r], r, P);
    }
}
```

- Time complexity?

# Principle of Optimality

---

- Dynamic programming works on optimization problems if the the principal of optimality holds:
- The optimal solution to an instance of a problem always includes the solution to all sub-instances.
- If we think of a solution as a series of steps, the next step is a function only of our current position.
- Another way of saying this:
  - A problem satisfies the principle of optimality if, after we remove one decision from an optimal solution, the remaining solution(s) is(are) optimal for the remaining problem(s).